

From: [Lambert, Matthew](#)
To: [Legare, Amy](#)
Cc: [Greenberg, Marc](#); [Ellis, Steve](#); [Gartner, Lois](#)
Subject: RE: Portland Harbor draft 6 is on SharePoint
Date: Thursday, December 31, 2015 6:06:54 AM

Hi Amy,

Just read Draft 6. The SWAC comment was still incorrect. I made the edits myself to the version on the SharePoint site, and I've pasted the new text below.
-Matt

One tool that appeared useful to the Region in the development of alternatives was the remedial action level (RAL) curve using predictions of surface weighted average concentrations (SWAC) of contaminants in sediment at the end of construction versus the number of acres remediated. However, it was not clear to the boards how the Region used this tool in the process of selecting the preferred remedy. Furthermore, the boards recommend that this analysis should be expanded by graphing each SWAC prediction for a given alternative-specific number of acres removed versus the RAL associated with the alternative. The boards also recommend that the Region calculate a confidence band (e.g., 95% confidence interval) for the current and predicted end-of-construction SWACs to quantify and address uncertainty in the SWACs as related to the spatial extent (*i.e.*, acreage) of the remedial footprint. This will increase transparency of the alternatives analysis and the selection of the preferred alternative.

From: Legare, Amy
Sent: Thursday, December 31, 2015 7:46 AM
To: OLEM OSRTI RRB ; OLEM OSRTI CSTAG ; Koch, Kristine ; Allen, Elizabeth ; Cora, Lori
Subject: Portland Harbor draft 6 is on SharePoint

(b) (6)

Please share this message with the rest of the PH team.

Final edits due to me by 8am Thursday.